

March 24, 2008

Dear Parish Investor:

On March 14, 2008, we filed with the court the Receiver's Third Interim Report. A copy has been posted on my firm's website, [www.haysconsulting.net](http://www.haysconsulting.net). I encourage you to read it. Hopefully, you will find it to be informative.

As April 15<sup>th</sup> approaches, it is important that you focus on whether there are tax issues that affect whether and/or how you seek a refund. In addition to the recent report, there are tax memos also posted on the website. We cannot provide tax advice to you, and we therefore encourage you to consult with your tax adviser about this issue.

You previously received notice that we have entered into a settlement with Charleston Southern University. The hearing regarding whether the Court will approve the settlement has been rescheduled for Monday, April 14, 2008. Three objections, representing a total of 9 investors, have been filed. While we do not like litigating with any investor, we have filed a response to these objections and will proceed with our efforts to obtain court approval. The original motion and settlement agreement, along with the objections and our reply, also are posted on our website.

In connection with the CSU matter, one objection challenges the quality of our prior notice to investors. While we disagree with this point, we certainly want to make certain that you understand the basic structure of the settlement. In short, CSU (and its insurer) will pay \$3,910,000 into the Receiver Estate. CSU also waives its right to receive a distribution up to about \$1.5 million (on its \$8.4 million claim). The result is that the cash benefit to investors and other creditors could be as much as \$5.4 million, depending on how much money we actually are able to distribute. The settlement is conditioned upon the Court barring further litigation against CSU by anyone (including investors) in connection with the Parish investment scheme. We are very pleased with the settlement and believe that it is in the best interest of all investors. If you have questions about the settlement that are not answered in our filings, please do not hesitate to contact my office.

We believe that we are making good progress. As indicated in our report to the court, we would like to conclude this case in 2008, but much depends on events that are not in our control.

Sincerely,

S. Gregory Hays, Receiver